
**Post-Partition Riots and Communal Disharmony: Descriptions from
Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions***

Dr.Brahmananda Padra, (Assistant Professor, Department of English, Gangadhar
Meher University, Sambalpur, Odisha

Paper Received on 01-09-2024, Accepted on 02-10-2024
Published on 03-10-24; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2024.9.4.55

Abstract:

The Post-partition Riots in India and Pakistan and Communal Disharmony have been portrayed on many platforms. Mahesh Dattani's work on *Final Solutions* reflects the lingering effects of the Partition of 1947, a historical event that divided British India into two nations- India and Pakistan, based on religious grounds. The Partition led to widespread violence, displacement, and enduring communal tensions between Hindus and Muslims. The play intertwines the personal and the political, using the experiences of its characters to explore the broader socio-political context of communalism. Dattani challenges the audience to confront the prejudices and biases that perpetuate communal disharmony, suggesting that these issues are not relics of the past but are very much alive in contemporary society. The use of symbolic elements, such as the mob and the changing roles of the chorus, further emphasizes the cyclical nature of violence and mistrust between communities.

This paper examines Dattani's play *Final Solutions*, focusing on its depiction of post-Partition riots and communal disharmony in India. It analyzes Dattani's portrayal of these complex issues, emphasizing the play's relevance in understanding post-Partition India and the ongoing struggle for communal harmony. By examining the narrative and dramatic techniques employed in *Final Solutions*, this study seeks to highlight the role of the play in promoting dialogue and understanding in a divided society, ultimately offering insights into the possibilities of reconciliation and healing.

Keywords: Post-Partition Riots, Communal Disharmony, Mahesh Dattani, *Final Solutions*, Religion, English Literature.

Introduction

Indian English literature, a subset of postcolonial literature, captures the unique socio-political experiences of India in the aftermath of British colonial rule. One of the most significant events shaping this literature is the Partition of India in 1947, which resulted in the creation of two nations - India and Pakistan, based on religious lines. This event led to one of the largest and bloodiest migrations in human history, displacing over 10 million people and causing communal violence that claimed hundreds of thousands of lives (**Varshney, 2002**).

The reverberations of this traumatic event continue to shape Indian society, and its aftermath has been a fertile ground for literary exploration. Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions* is a prime example of how literature reflects the long-term impact of Partition, particularly the communal tensions between Hindus and Muslims. First staged in 1993, the play delves into the deep-rooted biases and prejudices that fuel communal disharmony, highlighting the cyclical nature of violence that continues to affect India's socio-political landscape.

In *Final Solutions*, Dattani does more than present a historical recounting of events. Instead, he uses personal narratives and symbolic elements, such as the shifting masks of the chorus, to explore the complexities of communal identity, violence, and reconciliation. The play suggests that communal tensions are not mere relics of the past but are present in contemporary society, making the work relevant to modern audiences and scholars alike. By focusing on the personal and the political, Dattani challenges his audience to reflect on their prejudices and the role of societal structures in perpetuating communalism (**Kapur, 2010**).

This paper will explore how Dattani's *Final Solutions* portrays post-Partition riots and communal disharmony. Through an analysis of the play's characters, narrative techniques, and symbolic use of the chorus, the paper will highlight how Dattani addresses the ongoing struggle for communal harmony in India. Furthermore, it will examine the potential for dialogue and reconciliation, as suggested by the play, in a society still grappling with the legacy of Partition.

Historical Background

The Partition of India in 1947 was a momentous event that fundamentally

altered the political, social, and cultural landscape of the Indian subcontinent. The division of British India into two separate nations - India, with a Hindu majority, and Pakistan, with a Muslim majority - was predicated on religious identity, a factor that had been deeply entrenched during the colonial period (**Brass, 2003**). The decision to partition the country, driven by political ambitions and religious differences, unleashed a wave of violence as communities that had lived together for centuries turned against each other.

The migration that followed the Partition saw millions of people forcibly displaced as they crossed borders in search of safety. This mass movement of populations was accompanied by horrific acts of violence, including killings, abductions, and sexual assaults, primarily directed at individuals based on their religious identity. The trauma of Partition left deep scars on both sides, particularly among Hindus and Muslims, who found themselves divided not only by borders but by hatred and mistrust.

The legacy of Partition continues to influence communal relations in India. The violence of 1947 set a precedent for future communal conflicts, with incidents of rioting and violence between Hindus and Muslims recurring throughout the post-independence period. These tensions are often exacerbated by political manipulation, where leaders stoke communal divisions to gain political power (**Varshney, 2002**). The violence during Partition is not just a historical event but an ongoing reality in India's socio-political fabric.

Indian English literature, as part of postcolonial discourse, frequently engages with the consequences of Partition. Writers like Salman Rushdie, Khushwant Singh, and Bapsi Sidhwa have explored the impact of this event on individual lives and national identities. Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions* belongs to this tradition, offering a dramatic exploration of how the Partition's legacy continues to shape communal relations in modern India.

In *Final Solutions*, Dattani does not focus solely on the historical events of 1947 but instead portrays how the trauma of Partition continues to influence contemporary communal tensions. The play's characters are products of a divided society, grappling with the prejudices and fears that have been passed down through

generations. Through their experiences, Dattani offers a critical reflection on the persistence of communal disharmony in post-Partition India (**Kapur, 2010**).

Method And Materials

The methodology employed in this paper includes a close reading and textual analysis of Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions*, focusing on how the play addresses the themes of communal violence and identity crises. The study analyses the narrative structure, character development, and symbolic use of elements like the chorus, which serves as a representation of the collective mentality of the mob. The primary material for this analysis is Dattani's play supplemented by critical secondary sources that provide context on the historical and socio-political background of Partition and communalism in India.

By combining textual analysis with historical context, this paper seeks to illuminate how *Final Solutions* contributes to postcolonial literature and reflects the ongoing struggles for communal harmony in post-Partition India.

Exploring Communalism In Post-Partition India

Communalism, as a socio-political construct, refers to promoting religious or ethnic identities at the expense of national unity. In the Indian context, communalism has historically been a divisive force, often leading to violence and conflict between religious communities, particularly Hindus and Muslims. The roots of communalism in India can be traced back to British colonial rule, where the colonial administration implemented policies that encouraged divisions between religious groups as part of a broader strategy of "divide and rule" (**Brass, 2003**).

The term "communalism" gained prominence during the early 20th century, as tensions between religious communities became increasingly politicized. Leaders like Muhammad Ali Jinnah and the Indian National Congress navigated these tensions in the lead-up to Partition, ultimately leading to the creation of two separate nations - India and Pakistan. The violence that accompanied Partition was a direct result of communalism, with religious identity becoming the primary marker of allegiance, often overriding traditional ties of kinship and community (**Varshney, 2002**).

In post-independence India, communalism has remained a pervasive issue, frequently manifesting in riots and inter-community violence. Politicians and religious leaders have often exploited communal tensions for political gain, fueling divisions that result in widespread violence. The Partition itself has become a symbol of communal disharmony, with its legacy continuing to shape communal relations in contemporary India.

Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions* engages with this legacy by portraying the cyclical nature of communal violence. The play begins with Daksha, a Hindu woman, recounting the violence her family endured during the 1947 Partition. Her father's death in the riots leaves a lasting impact on her, shaping her attitudes towards Muslims. Daksha's narrative is a microcosm of the larger communal tensions that continue to affect India. Through her experiences, Dattani highlights how the trauma of Partition persists in the form of prejudice and mistrust (**Kapur, 2010**).

Dattani's portrayal of communalism in *Final Solutions* extends beyond historical events, reflecting how communal tensions are perpetuated in contemporary society. The play's central conflict revolves around two Muslim boys, Bobby and Javed, who seek refuge in the home of a Hindu family during a communal riot. The family's reactions to the boys reflect the deep-seated biases and fears that have been ingrained over decades. These personal interactions mirror the broader societal divisions that have their roots in the Partition, illustrating how communal violence is both a product of history and an ongoing reality in modern India.

The chorus in *Final Solutions* serves as a powerful representation of communalism in action. By wearing alternating Hindu and Muslim masks, the chorus embodies the shifting perceptions and identities that fuel communal violence. The chorus's chants reflect the collective fears and prejudices of both communities, highlighting how communalism operates as a social and psychological force that shapes individual and group behavior (**Dattani, 2000**). Through the chorus, Dattani emphasizes the cyclical nature of communal violence, where historical grievances are continually reignited, preventing reconciliation and perpetuating division.

Character Study and Representations

Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions* introduces a set of characters who

symbolize the complexity of communal tensions in post-Partition India. Each character represents a different aspect of communal disharmony, shaped by their personal experiences and societal pressures. The play's central characters, including Daksha, Javed, Ramanik, Bobby, and Aruna, embody the psychological and emotional scars of Partition and how communalism continues to shape identity and relationships in Indian society.

Daksha (Hardika):

Daksha, also known as Hardika in the present timeline, is a pivotal character whose personal narrative provides the historical context for the play's exploration of communal violence. As a young bride during the time of Partition, Daksha witnessed the communal riots that claimed her father's life. This trauma forms the foundation of her prejudice against Muslims, a sentiment that is exacerbated by her failed friendship with Zarine, a Muslim woman. The friendship between Daksha and Zarine, which initially symbolizes the possibility of Hindu-Muslim harmony, is shattered by communal mistrust, reflecting how historical events influence personal relationships. Daksha's resentment towards Muslims grows after her family's involvement in the destruction of Zarine's family shop, a betrayal that deepens her sense of injustice (**Dattani, 2000**).

Daksha's character highlights the generational impact of Partition on communal identities. Her experiences illustrate how personal trauma, rooted in historical events, can perpetuate communal hatred. Even though she never fully articulates her anger, her diary entries reveal the extent to which Partition has shaped her worldview, making her a symbolic representation of post-Partition India's unresolved tensions.

Javed:

Javed's character embodies the anger and frustration of marginalized minorities in post-independence India. As a young Muslim man, Javed's life has been marked by discrimination and exclusion, experiences that fuel his rebellion against the Hindu majority. His radicalization is depicted as a response to the systemic marginalization of Muslims, a theme that resonates with the broader issues of identity and belonging in post-Partition India (**Nair, 2007**).

Javed's transformation into an agent of communal violence is driven by his experiences of humiliation, such as the incident where a Hindu man wipes a gate after receiving a letter from Javed, as if his touch had contaminated it. This act of discrimination leaves a deep scar on Javed's psyche, leading him to retaliate by engaging in acts of violence. However, Javed is not merely a one-dimensional character; his internal conflict and moments of hesitation, particularly when he refrains from killing the Pujari during the riot, suggest a deeper moral struggle. Dattani portrays Javed as both a victim and a perpetrator, illustrating how communal violence is often a product of personal trauma and societal exclusion (**Dattani, 2000**).

Ramanik Gandhi:

Ramanik, the head of the Hindu family that shelters Javed and Bobby, represents the liberal, secular ideals that often clash with the realities of communalism. Ramanik's initial act of sheltering the Muslim boys reflects his belief in humanity and coexistence. However, as the play progresses, it becomes clear that Ramanik is also burdened by guilt—his family had benefited from the Partition by exploiting the destruction of Muslim businesses, including Zarine's family shop. This guilt manifests in his interactions with Javed, where his sense of moral superiority is challenged by the realization that he is complicit in the historical wrongs committed against Muslims (**Kapur, 2010**).

Ramanik's internal conflict represents the broader struggle for communal harmony in India. While he outwardly espouses liberal values, his family's history of benefiting from communal violence complicates his position. His attempt to atone for the past by offering Javed a job reflects the play's exploration of reconciliation, though it also highlights the limitations of individual acts of kindness in addressing systemic issues of communalism.

Bobby (Babban):

Bobby, also known as Babban, is another Muslim character who grapples with his identity in a society where communal divisions are deeply entrenched. Unlike Javed, who responds to communal tensions with anger, Bobby seeks to downplay his religious identity, using the name "Bobby" instead of his given name to avoid drawing attention to his minority status. Bobby's choice of a Westernized name symbolizes his attempt to navigate a society where being Muslim often invites

discrimination. However, this strategy does not shield him from prejudice, and throughout the play, Bobby is forced to confront the reality of his marginalized position (Nair, 2007).

Bobby's character represents the internalized insecurity of religious minorities in India. His reluctance to embrace his Muslim identity reflects the broader identity crisis faced by many Muslims in post-Partition India, where religious identity is often seen as a liability rather than a source of pride. In the climactic moment of the play, Bobby's rejection of communal violence - when he refuses to allow Javed to kill the Pujari - marks a turning point in his character, suggesting that personal agency can overcome the forces of communalism (Dattani, 2000).

Aruna:

Aruna, Ramanik's wife, is the character most closely associated with religious orthodoxy in the play. Deeply religious and committed to Hindu customs, Aruna views the presence of Muslims in her home as a form of contamination. Her fear of defilement, symbolized by her insistence on using separate glasses for Javed and Bobby, reflects the rigid boundaries that exist between religious communities in India. Aruna's adherence to tradition highlights how religious orthodoxy can perpetuate communal divisions, even within families that otherwise espouse liberal values (Kapur, 2010).

Aruna's character serves as a reminder of the societal barriers to communal harmony. While her actions are motivated by personal faith, they also reflect the broader societal norms that encourage segregation and exclusion. Through Aruna, Dattani critiques the role of religious orthodoxy in maintaining communal tensions, suggesting that reconciliation requires not only political and social change but also a rethinking of religious practices that reinforce division.

The Role of The Chorus as A Narrative

In *Final Solutions*, the chorus functions as a critical narrative and symbolic device, reflecting the collective consciousness of both Hindu and Muslim communities. Dattani's use of the chorus draws from classical Greek theatre, where the chorus was traditionally employed to comment on the action and convey the collective emotions of the characters. However, Dattani's innovation lies in how the

chorus in *Final Solutions* alternates between Hindu and Muslim identities, wearing masks that symbolize the fluidity of communal affiliations (**Kapur, 2010**).

The chorus in this play embodies the mob mentality that drives communal violence. By shifting between Hindu and Muslim personas, the chorus illustrates how communal identities are constructed and manipulated to serve political and social ends. The masks worn by the chorus members serve as a powerful visual metaphor for the interchangeable nature of communal hatred - whether Hindu or Muslim, the emotions of fear, anger, and mistrust are the same. This blurring of identities challenges the audience to reconsider the rigid distinctions that often underpin communal violence (**Dattani, 2000**).

Chorus as a Reflection of Mob Mentality:

The mob in *Final Solutions* is not an external force; it is the collective voice of society, as represented by the chorus. Throughout the play, the chorus expresses the fears and suspicions that fuel communal violence. When the chorus dons Hindu masks, they chant slogans that reflect Hindu grievances, such as "How dare they! They broke our chariot and felled our gods!" (**Dattani, 2000**). These chants echo the sentiments of Hindu mobs that view Muslims as outsiders and threats to their religious and cultural identity. In contrast, when the chorus adopts Muslim masks, they voice the fears of the Muslim community, chanting, "They hunt us down! They beat us up!" (**Dattani, 2000**). This alternating perspective highlights the shared sense of victimhood that exists on both sides, illustrating how communal violence is driven by mutual mistrust and the fear of being oppressed by the other community.

The use of the chorus to represent both Hindu and Muslim mobs underscores the cyclical nature of communal violence. Each side perceives itself as the victim and the other as the aggressor, perpetuating a cycle of violence that is difficult to break. By giving voice to both communities, Dattani emphasizes the futility of communal hatred and the ease with which individuals are swept into the mob mentality. The chorus's chants serve as a reminder that communal violence is often driven by collective emotions rather than rational thought, making it all the more dangerous and destructive (**Kapur, 2010**).

Chorus as a Symbol of Societal Pressure:

Beyond its role as a representation of the mob, the chorus also functions as a symbol of societal pressure. The chorus is omnipresent throughout the play, observing and commenting on the actions of the main characters. In this sense, the chorus represents the watchful gaze of society, constantly judging and influencing individual behaviour. The characters in *Final Solutions* are acutely aware of the chorus's presence, and their actions are often shaped by their desire to conform to societal expectations. For instance, Ramanik's decision to shelter Javed and Bobby is influenced by his desire to present himself as a liberal and progressive individual, even as he grapples with his prejudices (Nair, 2007).

The chorus's role as a societal force is particularly evident in its interactions with Javed and Bobby. As Muslim boys seeking refuge in a Hindu household, Javed and Bobby are acutely aware of the chorus's gaze, which represents the broader societal attitudes towards Muslims. The chorus's alternating chants reflect the external pressures that shape Javed and Bobby's sense of identity, as they are constantly reminded of their marginalized position in society. In this way, the chorus serves as a reminder that communal identities are not only constructed by individuals but also imposed by society (Dattani, 2000).

Chorus as a Bridge Between Internal and External Conflict:

One of the most significant functions of the chorus in *Final Solutions* is its ability to bridge the internal and external conflicts of the play. Inside Ramanik Gandhi's house, the family grapples with their internal biases and prejudices, while outside, the mob, represented by the chorus, threatens to escalate the communal violence. The chorus serves as a link between these two spheres, illustrating how personal prejudices are often shaped by broader societal forces. By alternating between Hindu and Muslim masks, the chorus underscores the idea that communal tensions are not limited to external conflicts but are also deeply ingrained in the personal lives of individuals (Kapur, 2010).

Through its multifaceted role, the chorus in *Final Solutions* becomes more than just a narrative device it is a symbol of the collective emotions, societal pressures, and internal conflicts that drive communal violence. Dattani's use of the chorus challenges the audience to reflect on how communal identities are constructed

and manipulated, both by individuals and by society at large.

The Psychological Impact

Communal violence has a profound psychological impact on individuals, often distorting their sense of identity and belonging. In *Final Solutions*, Dattani explores how communal tensions shape the identities of his characters, particularly Javed, Daksha, and Bobby. These characters represent different responses to the pressures of communalism, highlighting how personal identity is often defined by religious affiliation and societal expectations.

Javed's Rebellion:

Javed's identity is shaped by his experiences of discrimination and exclusion as a Muslim in a predominantly Hindu society. His rebellion against Hindu society is driven by the psychological scars left by incidents of humiliation, such as when a Hindu neighbour wipes a gate after receiving a letter from Javed, treating him as though he were contaminated (**Dattani, 2000**). This act of exclusion leaves Javed feeling dehumanized, and his subsequent involvement in communal violence is an attempt to reclaim his dignity and agency. However, Javed's participation in violence does not bring him peace; instead, it deepens his sense of alienation, illustrating how communalism can distort one's sense of self (**Nair, 2007**).

Daksha's Resentment:

Daksha, too, is deeply affected by the communal violence she witnessed during the Partition. Her father's death in the riots left her with a profound sense of loss, which gradually turned into resentment towards Muslims. This resentment is compounded by the betrayal she feels when her friendship with Zarine, a Muslim girl, is destroyed by communal tensions (**Kapur, 2010**). Daksha's identity is thus shaped by her trauma, which leads her to view Muslims as the enemy. Her diary entries reveal the depth of her pain and how communal violence has distorted her ability to see individuals as anything other than members of a religious group.

Bobby's Identity Crisis:

Bobby's experience is characterized by an internal conflict over his religious identity. As a Muslim, he faces discrimination, but unlike Javed, he chooses to distance himself from his religious identity by adopting a Westernized name. Bobby's

use of the name “Bobby” instead of his given name, Babban, reflects his desire to escape the stigma associated with being Muslim in a society where communal divisions are deeply entrenched (**Dattani, 2000**). However, this strategy does not shield him from prejudice, and throughout the play, Bobby is forced to confront the reality of his marginalized position. His identity crisis is emblematic of the broader challenges faced by religious minorities in India, where communal violence often forces individuals to question their place in society (**Nair, 2007**).

Through these characters, Dattani illustrates the psychological toll of communal violence and how it distorts individual identities. The play suggests that communalism not only affects society at large but also leaves deep scars on the personal lives of individuals, shaping their perceptions of themselves and others.

Political Manipulation And The Role Of Authority

One of the central themes of *Final Solutions* is the way in which political forces manipulate communal tensions for personal gain. Throughout the play, Dattani highlights how politicians and authority figures exploit religious divisions to solidify their power, often at the expense of the very people they claim to represent. This theme is particularly relevant in the context of post-Partition India, where communalism has frequently been used as a political tool to garner votes and consolidate control (**Brass, 2003**).

Exploitation of Communal Tensions:

In *Final Solutions*, the communal riot that takes place in Amargaon is not a spontaneous eruption of violence but rather a carefully orchestrated event, incited by individuals with vested interests in maintaining communal divisions. Javed's admission that he was paid to provoke the riot reveals the extent to which communal violence is often manipulated by those in power (**Dattani, 2000**). By presenting Javed's role as a hired provocateur, Dattani critiques the cynical ways in which politicians use religion to divide communities and distract from more pressing social and economic issues.

The play also explores how political leaders stoke fear and insecurity among both Hindus and Muslims to maintain their authority. The chorus, representing the mob, is easily swayed by the rhetoric of religious leaders, who use inflammatory

language to incite violence. For example, the chorus's chants reflect the ways in which religious identity is manipulated to justify violence: "They broke our chariot and felled our gods!" and "They hunt us down! They beat us up!" (Dattani, 2000). These slogans highlight how religious symbols and grievances are exploited to fuel hatred and division, often with devastating consequences for the communities involved.

Role of the Police and Law Enforcement:

In addition to political leaders, law enforcement authorities also play a significant role in perpetuating communal violence. The police, who are supposed to maintain order, often act as complicit agents in the escalation of riots. Dattani portrays the police as either indifferent or actively biased, reflecting the systemic failures of the state to protect its citizens during communal violence (Varshney, 2002). The lack of intervention by law enforcement during the riots in *Final Solutions* mirrors real-life instances where the police have been accused of allowing, or even encouraging, communal violence to continue unchecked.

This portrayal of authority figures highlights the institutional failures that contribute to the persistence of communalism in India. By showing how both political leaders and the police exploit or fail to address communal tensions, Dattani critiques the broader socio-political structures that perpetuate violence and division. The play suggests that communalism is not just a social problem but also a political strategy, one that is deeply embedded in the functioning of the state.

Broader Implications for Contemporary Indian Politics:

Dattani's exploration of political manipulation in *Final Solutions* is particularly relevant to contemporary Indian politics, where communalism continues to be a powerful tool for mobilizing voters. The use of religious identity to create political allegiances has been a recurring theme in Indian elections, with politicians frequently appealing to communal sentiments to secure their power base (Varshney, 2002). This political exploitation of communalism has had long-lasting effects on India's social fabric, contributing to the continued mistrust between religious communities.

By highlighting the role of political manipulation in communal violence,

Final Solutions calls attention to the need for political accountability and reform. Dattani's portrayal of authority figures as complicit in perpetuating communal divisions suggests that true reconciliation will require not only dialogue between communities but also structural changes in the political and legal systems that enable communalism to thrive.

Reconciliation And the Quest for Solutions

Despite its depiction of deep-seated communal tensions, *Final Solutions* offers a glimmer of hope for reconciliation. The play's open-ended conclusion invites the audience to reflect on the possibilities for healing and dialogue, suggesting that while communalism is a pervasive force, it is not insurmountable. Dattani emphasizes the importance of individual agency in overcoming communal hatred, as seen in the characters of Ramanik and Bobby, who both seek to bridge the divide between Hindus and Muslims.

Dialogue and Understanding:

Throughout the play, Dattani highlights the importance of dialogue as a means of resolving conflicts between communities. Ramanik's decision to shelter Javed and Bobby, despite the objections of his wife Aruna, reflects his belief in the power of human connection to transcend communal divisions. Similarly, Bobby's refusal to kill the Pujari during the riot demonstrates his rejection of communal violence and his commitment to peace. These moments of personal choice suggest that individuals have the power to resist the forces of communalism and work towards reconciliation (Dattani, 2000).

Empathy as a Path to Healing:

Dattani also suggests that empathy is key to breaking the cycle of communal violence. By encouraging the audience to see the characters as individuals rather than representatives of their religious communities, *Final Solutions* challenges the stereotypes and biases that fuel communal hatred. The play's portrayal of Javed's and Daksha's personal traumas invites the audience to empathize with their struggles, illustrating how communal violence affects individuals on a deeply personal level (Kapur, 2010).

While Dattani does not provide easy answers to the problem of

communalism, *Final Solutions* encourages the audience to reflect on the role of understanding and empathy in overcoming communal divisions. The play suggests that reconciliation is possible, but it requires a willingness to confront deeply ingrained prejudices and engage in honest dialogue with the “other.”

Conclusion

Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions* is a powerful exploration of communal violence and the ongoing struggle for harmony in post-Partition India. Through its nuanced portrayal of characters, symbolic use of the chorus, and critical examination of political manipulation, the play highlights the complex ways in which communalism shapes both personal identities and societal structures. Dattani's work underscores the cyclical nature of communal violence, where historical grievances continue to fuel contemporary conflicts. The play's characters, particularly Javed, Daksha, and Bobby, represent different responses to the pressures of communalism, illustrating how deeply embedded prejudices can distort individual identities. The chorus, as both a narrative and symbolic device, serves to reflect the collective emotions of society, emphasizing the ease with which communal hatred is perpetuated by fear and mistrust. At the same time, Dattani critiques the role of political leaders and authority figures in exploiting these tensions for personal gain, suggesting that communalism is not only a social issue but also a political one.

Despite its grim portrayal of communal violence, *Final Solutions* offers the possibility of reconciliation through dialogue and empathy. Dattani challenges the audience to confront their own biases and consider the role of individual agency in overcoming communal hatred. Ultimately, the play calls for a re-examination of the societal and political structures that enable communalism to thrive, offering a hopeful, if tentative, vision for a more harmonious future.

Reference

- Agarwal, D. (2013). *The Plays of Mahesh Dattani- A Study in Thematic Diversity and Dramatic Technique*. New Delhi: Discovery Publishing House.
- Brass, P. R. (2003). *The production of Hindu-Muslim violence in contemporary India*. University of Washington Press.
- Chowdhury, S. (2018) Alienation, Identity Crisis and Trap of Memory: A Probe into Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions*, *Quest Journals Journal of Research in*

Humanities and Social Science, vol. 06, no.7, 12-15.print.

- Das, B. K. (2012). *Form and Meaning in Mahesh Dattani's Plays*. New Delhi: Atlantic Publishers.
- Dattani, M. (2000). *Final solutions and other plays*. Penguin Books India.
- Dwivedi, M. (2015). The Issue of communal disharmony during post partition riots in Mahesh dattani's "Final Solution", *International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities*, Vol-3, Issue-4, June, ISSN 2321-7065, <http://www.ijellh.com/>.
- Joshiyura, P. (2009). *A Critical Study of Mahesh Dattani's Plays*. New Delhi: Sarup Book Publishers.
- Kapur, A. (2010). Narrating violence and communalism: Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions*. *Journal of South Asian Literature*, 45(2), 43-56.
- Mukherjee, T. (2012). *The Plays of Mahesh Dattani-An Anthology of Recent Criticism*. New Delhi: Pencraft International.
- Multani, A. (2007). *Mahesh Dattani's Plays - Critical perspectives*. New Delhi: Pencraft International.
- Nair, R. (2007). Partition and performance: Mahesh Dattani's *Final Solutions*. *Modern Drama*, 50(3), 341-360.
- Parmar, D. (2019). Mahesh Dattani's Final Solutions: An Analytical Study, *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)*, Vol-8, Issue-8, August, ISSN 2319-7722 (Online).
- Sheyamala, M. (2019). Communal Disharmony in Mahesh Dattani's Final Solution, *KAMARAJ JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC RESEARCH*, Vol-1, Issue-1, Jan-March, ISSN 2582-1466, <https://www.kcjournal.ac.in/>.
- Singh, P.K. (2012). *Social Maladies in The Works of Critical Mahesh Dattani*. New Delhi: Sarup Book Publishers.
- Singh, R. (2023). Communal Concerns in Mahesh Dattani's Final Solutions, *Literary Herald, An International Refereed/Peer-reviewed English e-Journal*, Vol-8, Issue-5, February, ISSN 2454-3365, <https://www.tlhjournal.com/>
- Suman, A. and Mishra, V (2022). Communal Disharmony in Mahesh Dattani's Final Solution, *International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*, Vol-11, Issue-6, ISSN 2319-1775.
- Varshney, A. (2002). *Ethnic conflict and civic life: Hindus and Muslims in India*. Yale University Press.

Research Journal Of English (RJOE)

An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal Impact Factor: 8.16(SJIF)Vol-9, Issue-4(Oct-Dec),2024
Indexed in: International Citation Indexing (ICI), Cite factor, International Scientific Indexing (ISI),
Directory of Research Journal Indexing (DRJI) Google Scholar, Cosmos and Internet Archives.

How to cite this article?

Dr.Brahmananda Padra ,“ Post-Partition Riots and Communal Disharmony: Descriptions from Mahesh Dattani’s Final Solutions” Research Journal Of English (RJOE)9(3),PP:39-55,2024, DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2024.9.4.55